

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 09/907,260 | 07/17/2001 | Paul D. Keppel | 106E-0023CIP | 7018 | |
| 7: | 590 09/04/2003 | | | | |
| MILLER, EVERMAN, & BERNARD, PLLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 4701 Hedgemo Suite 250 | re Drive | | MAYO III, W | MAYO III, WILLIAM H | |
| Charlotte, NC | 28209 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | • | 2831 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | $e\nu$ | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/907,260 | KEPPEL, PAUL D. | | | | |
| Advicery Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | William H. Mayo III | 2831 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addre | ss | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applice it is appliced to the standard whith the standard with the standard with the standard ment whith the standard ment whith the standard ment with the st | cation. A proper reply ch places the applica | to a tion in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | ļ | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exten the final Office action; or (2) | e MPEP extension fee asion fee under) as set forth in | | | |
| (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nths after the mailing date of the final reje | ection, even if timely filed, m | ay reduce any | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) M they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I | pelow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or sir | nplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims | S . | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | etion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed a | amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT | place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were | newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | nd an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | : | • | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-8</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 4 and 11-12. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,9,10 and 13</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap | proved by the Examir | ner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO 1440) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | " MT-M | TOWN H MAYOU | | | |
| | | "Mellian" | Mende | | | |
| · | | 9/1 | 103 | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/907,260 Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly submitted claim limitations would require further search and consideration. Specifically, the claimed limitations "a plurality of bare wires abutting each other", would require further search and consideration.